

Case Study Likes and Concerns

Case Study	What people liked	Concerns if applied to Syracuse
<p>Milwaukee Reconstruct the highway</p> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Improved aesthetics and design • Maintained the function of the interstate • Increased safety • Cost-effective • Less disruptive to local communities • Significant public involvement 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Maintenance of the status quo • No significant aesthetic improvement • Significant impacts on surrounding neighborhoods • No improvement in quality of life • No focus on economic development • Cost
<p>Boston Bury the highway</p> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • City beautification • Inclusion of a “signature project” • Access and connectivity • Removal of the highway as a barrier • Improved highway function • Emphasis on alternate modes • Potential for economic development 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cost • Not appropriate for Syracuse • Potential maintenance problems • Continued emphasis on cars • Time needed for construction • Safety and security • Limited connectivity
<p>Cincinnati Depress the highway</p> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reconnection and reintegration of the city • Improved aesthetics and design • Quality of life improvements • Cost • New development opportunities • Maintained the function of the highway 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Drainage and maintenance issues • Minimal or no improvement over the existing condition • Cost • Potential negative impacts on economy, environment, and neighborhoods • No significant safety improvements • Not a pedestrian friendly environment
<p>Providence Relocate the highway</p> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Redevelopment and reintegration of downtown • Removal of the highway from downtown • Maintained function of the highway • Quality of life improvements • Minimal disruption during construction 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Potential negative local impacts • Might shift the problem to another neighborhood • Cost • Possible negative impact on traffic and congestion • Less convenient
<p>San Francisco Remove the highway</p> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Promotion of alternative modes of travel • Improved aesthetics and design • Opportunity for economic development and neighborhood reintegration • Removal of the highway as a barrier • Cost-effective 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Loss of the highway function downtown • Increased traffic congestion on local streets • Would shift traffic to other regional highways • Would discourage people from going downtown and encourage people to leave the city • Would reduce walkability and provide a less safe environment for pedestrians